EIS000983

RECEIVED

January 20, 2000

JAN 20 2000

My name is Hilary Cedergreen and I live in St. Louis, Missouri. I am now married and pregnant

1... with my first child and plan to raise my family in St. Louis as well. I am here today to say that I am against the proposed action to construct, operate, monitor and eventually close a deep geologic repository at Yucca

1 cont. Mountain for the disposal of spent nuclear fuel and high level radioactive waste. While it has come to my attention that Yucca Mountain is not necessarily a safe place to put these materials, my even greater concern lies with the risks of transporting them through our cities. This option could prove to be catastrophic for our communities. Some of the risks involved with transporting the materials include accidents causing fires, derailing, collisions, natural catastrophes, or sabotage and terrorism. Should even one of these disasters occur, our local communities do not have the equipment or training for radioactively contaminated victims. The amount of radioactivity in the fuel rods that would be shipped is the equivalent of 2.3 million atom bombs. The people in this community deserve to feel safe about what is being transported through the city, not afraid for themselves and their families.

I am in support of the No-Action Alternative in which the DOE would stop site characterization activities at Yucca Mountain and begin site reclamation. The Commercial nuclear power utilities and the DOE would continue to manage spent nuclear fuel and high level radioactive waste at 77 sites in the United States. Many possibilities could be pursued, including the above mentioned continued storage of the material at its current locations. This eliminates all of the horrifying risks involved with transporting these dangerous materials through our communities, endangering not only my family, but others as well. This No-Action alternative would leave the hazardous materials in dry storage casks at the plants or in fuel pools until they can figure out how to neutralize the radioactivity.

I know I share with others the desire to live in a city where we feel safe and comfortable raising our families. Considering that we do not know for sure that Yucca Mountain is a safe place to put the materials, how can we justify putting our lives at risk by transporting them through our cities. This is why we have to support the No-Action Alternative.

Hilary Cedergreen 7327 Pershing Ave St. Louis, MO. 63130 Information gothered from the
"Draft Environmental Impact Statement for
a Geologic Repository for the asposal of Spect

EIS000983

Nuclear Fred and High-Level Radioactive Waster et Yucca Montain, Nye County, Nevada"

the contraction of the contracti

For this result of the control of th

A principal control of the control of

and the second of the second s

and the second of the second o

and the second s